



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,949	10/20/2000	Olivier K. Swedor	61473/0270144	6427

34845 7590 01/09/2006

STEUBING MCGUINNESS & MANARAS LLP  
125 NAGOG PARK  
ACTON, MA 01720

EXAMINER

REILLY, SEAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2153

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/692,949	SWEDOR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sean Reilly	2153	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sean Reilly (Examiner). (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Daqq (Applicant's Representative). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 January 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: John et al. XNAMI - An eXtensible XML-based paradigm for Network and Application Management Instrumentation.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant reviewed various proposed amendments. Examiner advised Applicant that the John reference substantially embraces the spirit and scope of Applicant's disclosure and thus substantial amendments are needed to overcome this reference. Applicant proposed adding various network monitoring or routing features to the claims. Examiner indicated that these features were widely known in the art at the time of Applicant's invention and would result in a rejection if submitted. Applicant also proposed further defining the structure and definition of the executed service as described in the specification on pg 12. Examiner indicated that including limitations that further defines the structure and definition of the executed service may overcome the John reference but further consideration would be needed after reviewing the specific claim structure. .